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The writer has recently completed the redaction of the manuscript of a paper presenting in complete form his results on the problem of Plateau for two contours; most of these results have been in my possession for some time past. ${ }^{1}$ They are embodied in the form of seven theorems, which it is the purpose of this note to state. The complete paper will be published in the Journal of Mathematics and Physics of the Massachusetts I stitute of Technology.

The two contours $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are Jordan curves in euclidean space, of $n$ dimensions for theorems I, V, of two dimensions for theorem IV, and of three dimensions for theorems II, III, VI, VII. Always, $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are supposed not to intersect one another.

With $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are associated three positive numbers, finite or $+\infty$ :

$$
m\left(\Gamma_{1}\right), \quad m\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), \quad m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)
$$

Concretely, these are, respectively, the least areas that can be bour.ded by $\Gamma_{1}$, by $\Gamma_{2}$, by $\Gamma_{1}$ acd $\Gamma_{2} ;{ }^{2}$ but for our analysis they are the lower bounds of certain functionals

$$
A\left(g_{1}\right), A\left(g_{2}\right), A\left(g_{1}, g_{2} ; q\right)
$$

where $g_{1}, g_{2}$ are arbitrary parametric representations of $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ and $q$ is a parameter, $0<q<1$. Always, there is the inequality
or

$$
e\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)=m\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)+m\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)-m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right) \geqq 0 .
$$

The functional of pairs of contours $e\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ is defined by the last formula for the case of finite $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$. When $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)=+\infty$, we use the iunctional:

$$
\bar{e}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)=\lim \sup e\left(\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0
$$

where $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$, contours with finite $m\left(\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, (e.g., polygons), tend to $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$.

In theorems I, II, III, $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ is supposed finite, while in theorems V, VI, VII the contours are arbitrary Jordan curves, generally with $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)=+\infty$.

Minimal surface means one defined by the Weierstrass formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=R \quad F_{i}(w) \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}^{12}(w)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem I. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ be two Jordan curves not intersecting one another; let $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ be finite, and suppose we have the strict inequality:
or

$$
\begin{gathered}
m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)<m\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)+m\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), \\
e\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then there exists a doubly-connected minimal surface bounded by $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$.
The area of this surface is $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$.
Theorem II. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ be two Jordan curves not intersecting one another, and let $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ be finite.

If the minimal surfaces $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, determined by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ taken separately, ${ }^{3}$ have in common a point that is regular for both of them $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|F_{i}^{1}(w)\right|^{2}>0\right)$, then there exists a doubly-connected minimal surface bounded by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$.

The area of this surface is less than the sum of the areas of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$.
Theorem III. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, Jordan curves with finite $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$, interlace. Then $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are the boundaries of a doubly-connected minimal surface.

The writer's theory of the problem of Plateau includes the conformal mapping of plane regions as the special case $n=2$. It is in this sense that the following theorem is to be understood.

Theorem IV. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ denote any two Jordan curves in the plane which enclose between them a region $R$. In the equations

$$
Z=g_{1}(z), Z=g_{2}(z)
$$

where $Z$ and $z$ denote complex variables, let $Z$ describe $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, respectively, when $z$ describes two concentric circles $C_{1}, C_{2}$ of radii $1, q ; 0<q<1$.

The range of values of the functional
$A\left(g_{1}, g_{2} ; q\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \int_{C_{\alpha}} \int_{C_{\beta}}\left|g_{\alpha}(z)-g_{\beta}(\zeta)\right|^{2} P(z, \zeta ; q) d z d \zeta,{ }^{4}$
$\left(P(z, \zeta ; q)\right.$ being a certain elliptic function with periods $\left.2 \pi, 2 \sqrt{-1} \log \frac{1}{q}\right)$, when all parametric representations $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ and all values of $q$ are considered, will consist exactly of all positive real numbers $\geqq$ the inner area ${ }^{5}$ of the region $R$. This minimum value will be attained for a certain (essentially
uniquely determined) parametric representation

$$
Z=g_{1}^{*}(z), Z=g_{2}^{*}(z)
$$

together with a unique value $q^{*}$ of $q$.
Then the integral formula of Cauchy:

$$
W=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{1}} \frac{g_{1}^{*}(z) d z}{z-w}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C_{2}} \frac{g_{2}^{*}(z) d z}{z-w}, 4
$$

defines a conformal transformation $w \longrightarrow W$ of the circular ring between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ into the region $R$ between $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$; this conformal transformation, furthermore, attaches continuously to the topological correspondence $g_{1}^{*}, g_{2}^{*}$ between the boundaries.

In theorems V, VI, VII the restriction of finite $m\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)$ is removed from theorems I, II, III.

Theorem V. Any two Jordan curves $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, not intersecting one another, for which

$$
\bar{e}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right)>0
$$

are the boundaries of a doubly-connected minimal surface.
Theorem VI. If $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are any two Jordan curves not intersecting one another, and the minimal surfaces $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ determined by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ separately ${ }^{3}$ have a regular point in common, then there exists a doubly-connected minimal surface bounded by $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$.

Theorem VII. Any two interlacing Jordan curves are the boundaries of a doubly-connected minimal surface.

1 "A General Formulation of the Problem of Plateau," presented to the American Mathematical Society, Oct. 26, 1929, abstract in Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 36, 50 (1930). "The Problem of Plateau for Two Contours," communicated to the same society, Sept. 27, 1930, abstract in the same publication, 36, 797 (1930).
${ }^{2}$ The surfaces bounded by $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ separately are supposed to be simply-connected; those bounded by $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ jointly, doubly-connected.
${ }^{3}$ The existence of the minimal surfaces $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is assured by the writer's paper "Solution of the Problem of Plateau," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 33, 1, 263-321 (Jan., 1931), which gave the first general solution of the Plateau problem for a single contour.
${ }^{4}$ The sense of integration around $C_{1}, C_{2}$ is such that the circular ring between them is on the left.
${ }^{5}$ The upper bound of the area of a ring-shaped polygon whose boundaries $P_{1}, P_{2}$ encircle $\Gamma_{2}$ and are encircled by $\Gamma_{1}$; this is not always the same as the lower bound of the area of a ring-shaped polygon whose outer boundary $P_{1}$ encircles $\Gamma_{1}$ and whose inner boundary $P_{2}$ is encircled by $\Gamma_{2}$ (outer area).

